


cmsa: white population

County	2010			2000			2000-2010	
	Total Population	White		Total Population	White		Change	
		Number	Percent		Number	Percent	Number	Percent
INDIANA								
Dearborn	50,047	48,780	97.47%	46,109	45,216	98.06%	3,564	7.88%
Franklin	23,087	22,703	98.34%	22,151	21,934	99.02%	769	3.51%
Ohio	6,128	6,012	98.11%	5,623	5,550	98.70%	462	8.32%
KENTUCKY								
Boone	118,811	109,050	91.78%	85,991	81,822	95.15%	27,228	33.28%
Bracken	8,488	8,300	97.79%	8,279	8,153	98.48%	147	1.80%
Campbell	90,336	85,215	94.33%	88,616	85,636	96.64%	-421	-0.49%
Gallatin	8,589	8,132	94.68%	7,870	7,612	96.72%	520	6.83%
Grant	24,662	23,842	96.68%	22,384	22,006	98.31%	1,836	8.34%
Kenton	159,720	145,394	91.03%	151,464	142,357	93.99%	3,037	2.13%
Pendleton	14,877	14,603	98.16%	14,390	14,159	98.39%	444	3.14%
OHIO								
Brown	44,846	43,736	97.52%	42,285	41,474	98.08%	2,262	5.45%
Butler	368,130	316,667	86.02%	332,807	303,510	91.20%	13,157	4.33%
Clermont	197,363	189,250	95.89%	177,977	172,866	97.13%	16,384	9.48%
Hamilton	802,374	552,330	68.84%	845,303	616,487	72.93%	-64,157	-10.41%
Warren	212,693	192,431	90.47%	158,383	149,919	94.66%	42,512	28.36%
CMSA	2,130,151	1,766,445	82.93%	2,009,632	1,718,701	85.52%	47,744	2.78%

Overall the White population makes up a majority of the total CMSA population, with 82.5 percent of the total population in 2000 and 79.6 percent of the total population in 2010. While the overall White population increased between 2000 and 2010, from 1,284,256 to 1,294,414, it decreased as a proportion of the total population. The White population decreased from 82.5 percent of the total population in 2000 to 79.6 percent in 2010.

Two counties, Hamilton and Campbell, saw a decline in White population. Hamilton County lost 64,157 or 10.4 percent of its White population in 10 years, while Campbell lost much less of its white population at 421, or 0.5 percent. The biggest gains in White population were seen in Warren County, Ohio, and Boone County, Kentucky, with 28.4 and 33.3 percent increases respectively.

HAMILTON COUNTY 2010 CENSUS DATA



Percent of White Population per County

rpc HAMILTON COUNTY
Regional Planning Commission
September 2011


Data Source:
U.S. Census Bureau (2010)

Prepared by:
Hamilton County Planning
and Development Department


DISCLAIMER: Neither the provider nor any of the parties of the Centralized geographic information system (CAGIS) have any warranty or representation, either expressed or implied, with respect to the information, its quality, performance, accuracy, or fitness for a particular purpose. As a result the information provided is "as is" and you should use it at your own risk.

We warrant that the provider or any party of CAGIS is liable for direct, indirect, incidental, or consequential damages resulting from any defect in the information or any other party of the provider or any of the parties of the provider. In particular, neither the provider or any party of CAGIS shall have any liability for any other information, program, or data used or otherwise contained in the information provided, including the cost of recovering such information, program, or data.

Any location and boundary information provided on this map is for conceptual planning purposes only. For official determination of boundary lines refer to the 1982 CAGIS boundary files. Large differences can exist between a hard copy print and an on-line CAGIS data file.



HAMILTON COUNTY 2010 CENSUS DATA





Numerical Change 2000-2010 in White Population per County

rpc HAMILTON COUNTY
Regional Planning Commission
September 2011

Data Source:
U.S. Census Bureau (2010)

Prepared by:
Hamilton County Planning
and Development Department

DISCLAIMER: While the provider nor any of the parties of the Centralized geographic information system (GIS) make any warranty or representation, either expressed or implied, with respect to the information, its quality, performance, suitability, or fitness for a particular purpose. As a result the information is provided "as is" and you, the recipient, are assuming the entire risk as to its quality and performance. In no event will the provider or any party of GIS be liable for direct, indirect, or consequential damages resulting from any reliance on the information or any other part of the product, even if advised of the possibility of such damages. In addition, neither the provider nor any party of GIS shall have any liability for any other information, program, or data available or accessible through the information provided, including the cost of recovering such information, program, or data. Any findings and their usage information provided herein are for conceptual planning purposes only. For official determination of their respective road mile in the 2002 FEMA floodway maps, large differences can exist between actual floodway area and official FEMA floodway area.




cmsa: black population

County	2010			2000			2000-2010	
	Total Population	Black or African American		Total Population	Black or African American		Change	
		Number	Percent		Number	Percent	Number	Percent
INDIANA								
Dearborn	50,047	300	0.60%	46,109	287	0.62%	13	4.53%
Franklin	23,087	37	0.16%	22,151	7	0.03%	30	428.57%
Ohio	6,128	22	0.36%	5,623	27	0.48%	-5	-18.52%
KENTUCKY								
Boone	118,811	2,992	2.52%	85,991	1,306	1.52%	1,686	129.10%
Bracken	8,488	29	0.34%	8,279	51	0.62%	-22	-43.14%
Campbell	90,336	2,290	2.53%	88,616	1,394	1.57%	896	64.28%
Gallatin	8,589	113	1.32%	7,870	125	1.59%	-12	-9.60%
Grant	24,662	178	0.72%	22,384	57	0.25%	121	212.28%
Kenton	159,720	7,359	4.61%	151,464	5,810	3.84%	1,549	26.66%
Pendleton	14,877	53	0.36%	14,390	71	0.49%	-18	-25.35%
OHIO								
Brown	44,846	384	0.86%	42,285	389	0.92%	-5	-1.29%
Butler	368,130	26,972	7.33%	332,807	17,531	5.27%	9,441	53.85%
Clermont	197,363	2,284	1.16%	177,977	1,621	0.91%	663	40.90%
Hamilton	802,374	205,952	25.67%	845,303	198,061	23.43%	7,891	3.98%
Warren	212,693	6,940	3.26%	158,383	4,327	2.73%	2,613	60.39%
CMSA	2,130,151	255,905	12.01%	2,009,632	231,064	11.50%	24,841	10.75%

The Black or African American population is the second largest population in the CMSA making up 11.5 percent of the total population in 2000 and 12.0 percent in 2010. This group is primarily concentrated in the Ohio counties, specifically in Hamilton and Butler. While Ohio saw the greatest actual increase in Black or African American populations between 2000 and 2010 with an increase of 20,603, Kentucky saw the greatest percent increase in population with 47.7 percent.

As a percentage of total population per county, the percentages of Black or African American populations for 2000 and 2010 remained relatively stable for each state.

HAMILTON COUNTY 2010 CENSUS DATA



Percent of Black or African American Population per County

rpc HAMILTON COUNTY
Regional Planning Commission
September 2011


Data Source:
U.S. Census Bureau (2010)

Prepared by:
Hamilton County Planning
and Development Department


DISCLAIMER: While the provider has every effort to ensure the accuracy of the information presented on this website, the provider makes no warranty or representation, either expressed or implied, with respect to the information, its quality, performance, accuracy, or timeliness, for a particular purpose. As a result the information provided is "as is" and you should use the information at your own risk.

In no event will the provider or any party of CACG be liable for direct, indirect, or consequential damages resulting from any defect in the information or any other part of the program, even if advised of the possibility of such damages. In particular, neither the provider nor any party of CACG shall have any liability for any other information, program, or data used in the context of the information provided, including the cost of recovering such information, program, or data.

Any location and boundary information provided on this map is for conceptual planning purposes only. For official determination of boundary lines refer to the 1:50,000 scale map sheets.



HAMILTON COUNTY 2010 CENSUS DATA




Percent Change 2000-2010 in Black or African American Population per County

rpc HAMILTON COUNTY
Regional Planning Commission
September 2011

Data Source:
U.S. Census Bureau (2010)

Prepared by:
Hamilton County Planning
and Development Department

DISCLAIMER: The provider makes no warranty of the content, accuracy, or completeness of the information provided in this report. The provider makes no warranty of the information's quality, performance, or reliability, or that it is free from errors. The provider makes no warranty of the information's accuracy, or that it is free from errors. The provider makes no warranty of the information's accuracy, or that it is free from errors. The provider makes no warranty of the information's accuracy, or that it is free from errors.




cmsa: asian population

County	2010			2000			2000-2010	
	Total Population	Asian		Total Population	Asian		Change	
		Number	Percent		Number	Percent	Number	Percent
INDIANA								
Dearborn	50,047	189	0.38%	46,109	122	0.26%	67	54.92%
Franklin	23,087	55	0.24%	22,151	48	0.22%	7	14.58%
Ohio	6,128	19	0.31%	5,623	8	0.14%	11	137.50%
KENTUCKY								
Boone	118,811	2,534	2.13%	85,991	1,108	1.29%	1,426	128.70%
Bracken	8,488	5	0.06%	8,279	5	0.06%	0	0.00%
Campbell	90,336	753	0.83%	88,616	475	0.54%	278	58.53%
Gallatin	8,589	15	0.17%	7,870	17	0.22%	-2	-11.76%
Grant	24,662	80	0.32%	22,384	66	0.29%	14	21.21%
Kenton	159,720	1,447	0.91%	151,464	894	0.59%	553	61.86%
Pendleton	14,877	20	0.13%	14,390	16	0.11%	4	25.00%
OHIO								
Brown	44,846	108	0.24%	42,285	54	0.13%	54	100.00%
Butler	368,130	8,811	2.39%	332,807	5,147	1.55%	3,664	71.19%
Clermont	197,363	1,920	0.97%	177,977	1,129	0.63%	791	70.06%
Hamilton	802,374	16,182	2.02%	845,303	13,602	1.61%	2,580	18.97%
Warren	212,693	8,284	3.89%	158,383	1,991	1.26%	6,293	316.07%
CMSA	2,130,151	40,422	1.90%	2,009,632	24,682	1.23%	15,740	63.77%

The remaining population groups, including the Asian population, make up small proportions of the total population for the CMSA. The counties in Ohio, Kentucky, and Indiana (except for Gallatin County, Kentucky which only lost two total people in this category) experienced overall increases in their asian populations between 2000 and 2010. Despite these increases, in 2010 the Asian population only made up 2.2 percent of the total population in Ohio, 1.1 percent in Kentucky, and 0.3 percent in Indiana. In 2010, the Asian population only accounted for 40,422 people compared with the total CMSA population of 2,130,151.

HAMILTON COUNTY 2010 CENSUS DATA




Percent of Asian Population per County

rpc HAMILTON COUNTY
Regional Planning Commission
September 2011


Data Source:
U.S. Census Bureau (2010)

Prepared by:
Hamilton County Planning
and Development Department

DISCLAIMER: The provider makes no warranty of the content, accuracy, or completeness of the information provided in this report. The provider makes no warranty of the content, accuracy, or completeness of the information provided in this report. The provider makes no warranty of the content, accuracy, or completeness of the information provided in this report. The provider makes no warranty of the content, accuracy, or completeness of the information provided in this report.



HAMILTON COUNTY 2010 CENSUS DATA




Percent Change 2000-2010 in Asian Population per County

rpc HAMILTON COUNTY
Regional Planning Commission
 September 2011

Data Source:
 U.S. Census Bureau (2010)

Prepared by:
 Hamilton County Planning
 and Development Department

DISCLAIMER: The provider makes no warranty of the accuracy, completeness, or timeliness of the information provided. The provider is not responsible for any errors or omissions in the information provided. The provider is not responsible for any damages, including consequential damages, resulting from the use of the information provided. The provider is not responsible for any damages, including consequential damages, resulting from the use of the information provided. The provider is not responsible for any damages, including consequential damages, resulting from the use of the information provided.




cmsa: some other race population

County	2010			2000			2000-2010	
	Total Population	Some Other Race		Total Population	Some Other Race		Change	
		Number	Percent		Number	Percent	Number	Percent
INDIANA								
Dearborn	50,047	175	0.35%	46,109	85	0.18%	90	105.88%
Franklin	23,087	75	0.32%	22,151	19	0.09%	56	294.74%
Ohio	6,128	19	0.31%	5,623	3	0.05%	16	533.33%
KENTUCKY								
Boone	118,811	1,753	1.48%	85,991	641	0.75%	1,112	173.48%
Bracken	8,488	47	0.55%	8,279	17	0.21%	30	176.47%
Campbell	90,336	540	0.60%	88,616	272	0.31%	268	98.53%
Gallatin	8,589	139	1.62%	7,870	20	0.25%	119	595.00%
Grant	24,662	245	0.99%	22,384	72	0.32%	173	240.28%
Kenton	159,720	1,906	1.19%	151,464	615	0.41%	1,291	209.92%
Pendleton	14,877	31	0.21%	14,390	51	0.35%	-20	-39.22%
OHIO								
Brown	44,846	95	0.21%	42,285	35	0.08%	60	171.43%
Butler	368,130	6,755	1.83%	332,807	2,066	0.62%	4,689	226.96%
Clermont	197,363	792	0.40%	177,977	467	0.26%	325	69.59%
Hamilton	802,374	8,504	1.06%	845,303	4,301	0.51%	4,203	97.72%
Warren	212,693	1,414	0.66%	158,383	485	0.31%	929	191.55%
CMSA	2,130,151	22,490	1.06%	2,009,632	9,149	0.46%	13,341	145.82%

The Some Other Race category of the United States Census is another category that makes up small amounts of the total population within the CMSA. Of all the counties within the CMSA, the only county to lose population in this category, although it was only 20 total people, was Pendleton, Kentucky. While every other county gained population in this category, they did so at relatively small rates. The Some Other Race population category appears to be located most heavily in the center of the CMSA, with the largest populations in Butler County, Boone County, and Gallatin County.

HAMILTON COUNTY 2010 CENSUS DATA



Percent of Some Other Race Population per County


rpc HAMILTON COUNTY
Regional Planning Commission
September 2011

Data Source:
U.S. Census Bureau (2010)

Prepared by:
Hamilton County Planning
and Development Department

DISCLAIMER: While the provider uses only the public use of the Census Bureau geographic information system (GIS) files, the accuracy of the information is not guaranteed. The provider is not responsible for any errors or omissions, whether caused by the provider or by the user. The provider is not responsible for any errors or omissions, whether caused by the provider or by the user. The provider is not responsible for any errors or omissions, whether caused by the provider or by the user. The provider is not responsible for any errors or omissions, whether caused by the provider or by the user.

HAMILTON COUNTY 2010 CENSUS DATA



Percent Change 2000-2010 in Some Other Race Population per County

rpc HAMILTON COUNTY
Regional Planning Commission
September 2011



Data Source:
U.S. Census Bureau (2010)

Prepared by:
Hamilton County Planning
and Development Department

DISCLAIMER: Neither the provider nor any of the parties of the Centralized geographic information system (GIS) have any warranty or responsibility, either expressed or implied, with respect to the information's quality, performance, accuracy, or fitness for a particular purpose. As a result the information provided is not guaranteed and users assume the entire risk of its quality and performance.

We warrant that the provider or any party of GIS is liable for direct, indirect, or consequential damages resulting from any defect in the information or any other party's use of the product or service of the provider or user. In particular, neither the provider nor any party of GIS shall have any liability for any other information, program or data used in the context of the information services including the cost of recovering such information program or data.

Any boundary and base map information provided on this map is for conceptual planning purposes only. For official determination of the report see the GIS Data Boundary Diagram. Large differences can exist between actual boundaries and an official GIS Data Boundary area.




cmsa: two or more races population

County	2010			2000			2000-2010	
	Total Population	Two Or More Races		Total Population	Two Or More Races		Change	
		Number	Percent		Number	Percent	Number	Percent
INDIANA								
Dearborn	50,047	490	0.98%	46,109	314	0.68%	176	56.05%
Franklin	23,087	181	0.78%	22,151	104	0.47%	77	74.04%
Ohio	6,128	44	0.72%	5,623	27	0.48%	17	62.96%
KENTUCKY								
Boone	118,811	2,159	1.82%	85,991	885	1.03%	1,274	143.95%
Bracken	8,488	89	1.05%	8,279	29	0.35%	60	206.90%
Campbell	90,336	1,392	1.54%	88,616	674	0.76%	718	106.53%
Gallatin	8,589	176	2.05%	7,870	82	1.04%	94	114.63%
Grant	24,662	222	0.90%	22,384	120	0.54%	102	85.00%
Kenton	159,720	3,165	1.98%	151,464	1,522	1.00%	1,643	107.95%
Pendleton	14,877	134	0.90%	14,390	64	0.44%	70	109.38%
OHIO								
Brown	44,846	445	0.99%	42,285	255	0.60%	190	74.51%
Butler	368,130	7,867	2.14%	332,807	3,745	1.13%	4,122	110.07%
Clermont	197,363	2,653	1.34%	177,977	1,528	0.86%	1,125	73.63%
Hamilton	802,374	17,186	2.14%	845,303	11,129	1.32%	6,057	54.43%
Warren	212,693	3,184	1.50%	158,383	1,332	0.84%	1,852	139.04%
CMSA	2,130,151	39,387	1.85%	2,009,632	21,810	1.09%	17,577	80.59%

The Two or More Race category in the United States Census consists of any person who identifies as having two or more races in their genealogy. This category showed trends similar to that of the Some Other Race and Asian Race populations within the CMSA. While some of the counties may have gained a decent number of people, they remained relatively stable in regards to the percentage of the total population they make up. Additionally, every county within the CMSA gained population between 2000 and 2010 in this category along with the Hispanic or Latino category. The greatest concentration of this population group, as depicted in the corresponding maps, appears to be located in Butler, Hamilton, Kenton, and Gallatin Counties.

HAMILTON COUNTY 2010 CENSUS DATA




Percent of Two or More Races Population per County

rpc HAMILTON COUNTY
Regional Planning Commission
September 2011


Data Source:
U.S. Census Bureau (2010)

Prepared by:
Hamilton County Planning
and Development Department

DISCLAIMER: While the provider uses any of the public data of the Centralized geographic information system (GIS) from the website or respondents, either approved or unapproved, with respect to the information, its quality, performance, accuracy, or timeliness, for a particular purpose. At a small the information provided in this report is not intended to be used for any other purpose. The provider makes no warranty, representation, or guarantee, in whole or in part, for any other information, program or data, as well as the content of the information provided, including the cost of recovering such information, program or data. Any reliance on the data or information provided in this report is for conceptual planning purposes only. For critical determinations of the report, users should refer to the GIS data directly. Large differences can exist between actual field data and GIS data based on the data source.



HAMILTON COUNTY 2010 CENSUS DATA



Numerical Change 2000-2010 in Two or More Races Population per County

rpc HAMILTON COUNTY
Regional Planning Commission
September 2011



Data Source:
U.S. Census Bureau (2010)

Prepared by:
Hamilton County Planning
and Development Department

DISCLAIMER: The provider nor any of the parties of the Data and geographic information system (GIS) make any warranty or representation, either expressed or implied, with respect to the information, its quality, performance, availability, or fitness for a particular purpose. As a result the information provided is not guaranteed and is provided "as is" without warranty.

In no event will the provider or any party of GIS be liable for direct, indirect, or consequential damages resulting from any defect in the information or any other part of the product, even if the possibility of such damage is foreseeable. Neither the provider nor any party of GIS shall have any liability for any other information, program or data available on the system, including the confidentiality of information program or data.

Any feature and field map information presented in this map for conceptual planning purposes only. For official information of this subject matter refer to the 1987 DMA boundary maps. Large differences can exist between actual boundaries and unofficial DMA boundary areas.




cmsa: hispanic or latino population

County	2010			2000			2000-2010	
	Total Population	Hispanic Or Latino		Total Population	Hispanic Or Latino		Change	
		Number	Percent		Number	Percent	Number	Percent
INDIANA								
Dearborn	50,047	502	1.00%	46,109	266	0.58%	236	88.72%
Franklin	23,087	210	0.91%	22,151	104	0.47%	106	101.92%
Ohio	6,128	69	1.13%	5,623	25	0.44%	44	176.00%
KENTUCKY								
Boone	118,811	4,205	3.54%	85,991	1,702	1.98%	2,503	147.06%
Bracken	8,488	96	1.13%	8,279	39	0.47%	57	146.15%
Campbell	90,336	1,495	1.65%	88,616	765	0.86%	730	95.42%
Gallatin	8,589	372	4.33%	7,870	82	1.04%	290	353.66%
Grant	24,662	577	2.34%	22,384	232	1.04%	345	148.71%
Kenton	159,720	4,225	2.65%	151,464	1,669	1.10%	2,556	153.15%
Pendleton	14,877	143	0.96%	14,390	97	0.67%	46	47.42%
OHIO								
Brown	44,846	269	0.60%	42,285	185	0.44%	84	45.41%
Butler	368,130	14,670	3.99%	332,807	4,771	1.43%	9,899	207.48%
Clermont	197,363	2,896	1.47%	177,977	1,547	0.87%	1,349	87.20%
Hamilton	802,374	20,607	2.57%	845,303	9,514	1.13%	11,093	116.60%
Warren	212,693	4,784	2.25%	158,383	1,633	1.03%	3,151	192.96%
CMSA	2,130,151	55,120	2.59%	2,009,632	22,631	1.13%	32,489	143.56%

As previously stated, the Hispanic or Latino category is one of only two race categories to experience gains in its population across all counties. The largest populations of the Hispanic or Latino group are located in the center of the CMSA, and the largest percentages are found in Butler, Boone, and Gallatin Counties. The greatest numerical changes between 2000 and 2010 were found in Butler County and Hamilton County, while the smallest changes were found around the perimeter of the CMSA in counties such as Franklin and Bracken.

HAMILTON COUNTY 2010 CENSUS DATA



Percent of Hispanic or Latino Population per County

rpc HAMILTON COUNTY
Regional Planning Commission
September 2011


Data Source:
U.S. Census Bureau (2010)

Prepared by:
Hamilton County Planning
and Development Department


DISCLAIMER: Neither the provider nor any of the parties of the Centralized geographic information system (GIS) have any warranty or responsibility, either expressed or implied, with respect to the information's quality, performance, accuracy, or fitness for a particular purpose. At a small the information's provided as is, and you, the recipient, assume the entire risk of its quality and performance.

We warrant that the provider or any party of GIS is liable for direct, indirect, or consequential damages resulting from any defects in the information or any other party that provides or fails to provide the information. In particular, neither the provider nor any party of GIS shall have any liability for any other information, program or data as well as other content with the information received including the cost of recovering such information, program or data.

Any boundary and base map information provided on this map is for conceptual planning purposes only. For critical determinations of the project, refer to the GIS/DMA boundary files. Large differences can exist between actual field points and an official GIS/DMA based map area.



HAMILTON COUNTY 2010 CENSUS DATA



Percent Change 2000-2010 in Hispanic or Latino Population per County

rpc HAMILTON COUNTY
Regional Planning Commission
September 2011

Data Source:
U.S. Census Bureau (2010)

Prepared by:
Hamilton County Planning and Development Department

DISCLAIMER: Neither the provider nor any of the parties to the Centralized geographic information system (GIS) have any warranty or responsibility, either expressed or implied, with respect to the information's quality, performance, accuracy, or fitness for a particular purpose. As a result the information provided is not guaranteed and users assume the risk of its quality and performance.

We warrant that the provider or any party of GIS is liable for direct, indirect, incidental, or consequential damages resulting from any defects in the information or any other party's use of the product or service. We do not warrant the provider or any party of GIS shall have any liability for any other information, program or data used in the context of the information services including the cost of recovering such information program or data.

Any boundary and base map information provided on this map is for conceptual planning purposes only. For official determination of the report see the GIS Data Boundary Diagram. Large differences can occur between actual field data and GIS Data Boundary Diagram.

